1 2 3 4	MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW TWO WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2000 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-3383 TELEPHTWO (213) (22-7600 FACSIMILE (213) (22-7600 FACSI	;)	
5 6	s.casselberry@mpglaw.com Stephen R. Isbell (State Bar No. 247151) s.isbell@mpglaw.com		
7	Attorneys for LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC.		
8 9		E STATE OF CALIFORNIA LES, CENTRAL DISTRICT	
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	Judicial Counsel Coordination No. 4408	
11	CASES	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to Honorable Jack Komar	
12	INCLUDED ACTIONS: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of	Assigned to Honorable Jack Konnai	
13 14	California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC325201;	RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET	
14 15 16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500- CV-254348;	THREE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	
 17 18 19 20 	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668;		
21 22	Rebecca Lee Willis v. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC364553;		
23 24	Wood v. A.V. Materials, Inc., et al. v. Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 509546; and		
25 26 27	Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc. v. Granite Construction Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. MC026932		
28		1 TS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET THREE, POUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	

PROPOUNDING PARTY: GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY RESPONDING PARTY: LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC. SET NO.: THREE

Pursuant to California *Code of Civil Procedure* Section 2031.010, et seq., LITTLE ROCK
SAND AND GRAVEL, INC. ("Responding Party" or "Little Rock") responds to GRANITE
CONSTRUCTION COMPANY's ("Requesting Party" or "Granite") Request for Production of
Documents, Set Three, as follows:

8

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

9 The following responses are made solely for the purpose of the above-captioned action.
10 Each response is subject to any and all objections to competency, relevancy, materiality, propriety,
11 and admissibility, and any and all other objections and grounds which would require the exclusion
12 of any response herein if the requests were asked of, or if any statement contained herein was
13 made by, a witness present and testifying in Court, all of which objections and grounds may be
14 interposed at the appropriate time.

15 The following responses and objections are based upon the facts and information now 16 known to Responding Party and shall not in any way be deemed admissions or representations that 17 further facts, information, or witnesses having knowledge relevant to the subject matter of these 18 requests do not exist. As discovery proceeds, Responding Party anticipates that further facts, 19 information, documents and witnesses may be discovered by it or may be determined to be 20 relevant. Without in any way obligating itself to do so, Responding Party reserves the right to 21 alter, supplement, amend or otherwise modify these responses in any way at any time based on 22 facts determined to be relevant or revealed to Responding Party through discovery and further 23 investigation.

This Preliminary Statement is incorporated in its entirety into each and every Response and
is further incorporated by reference as part of the General Objections.

- 26 ////
- 27 || / / /
- 28 ////
 - 1083368.1

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

1	GENERAL OBJECTIONS	
2	1. Responding Party objects to each and every request to the extent that it seeks, in	
3	whole or in part, information constituting or relating to attorney-privilege communications	
4	between Responding Party and its counsel, or information within the scope of the attorney work	
5		
	product doctrine, including the opinions, conclusions, thoughts, legal research, strategies, theories	
6 7	and/or mental impressions of Responding Party's attorneys.	
	2. Responding Party objects to each and every request to the extent it seeks	
	8 information neither relevant to the subject matter of this litigation nor reasonably calculated to	
9	lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.	
10	3. Responding Party objects to these Requests to the extent that they are overbroad,	
11	oppressive, unduly burdensome, irrelevant, immaterial, meant to harass, and not reasonably	
12	calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.	
13		
14	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS	
15	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:	
16	All DOCUMENTS identified in YOUR response to GRANITE'S Special Interrogatories,	
17	Set One.	
18	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:	
19	Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc. objects to this Request on the following grounds: (1) the	
20	Request demands documents that are equally available to and/or already in the possession of	
21	Granite Construction Company ("Granite"), including documents that Little Rock has already	
22	produced to Granite, and as such, this Request in unduly burdensome; and (2) it demands	
23	documents that contain settlement communications that are confidential under Evidence Code	
24	section 1152 and, as such, are not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of	
25	admissible evidence. Without waiving these objections, Little Rock responds as follows:	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
MUSICK, PEELER	1083368.1 3 RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET THREE,	
& GARRETT LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW	PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	
	1	

1	All documents responsive to this Re	equest have already been produced by Little Rock
2		including the parties' filings in the Antelope Valley
3	Groundwater Cases.	
4		
5	DATED: January 2,2018	MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP
6		
7		E'
8	H F	By: Theodore A. Chester, Jr.
9		Stephen R. Isbell
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
MUSICK, PEELER	1083368.1	
& GARRETT LLP	RESPONSE TO RE	QUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET THREE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

 $\|$

وردفنه ورشم	
1	VERIFICATION
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3 4	I have read the foregoing RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET THREE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY and know its contents.
5	I am Descident of Little Deck Sand and Crevel Inc. a newty to this estion, and am
6 7	I am, President of Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc., a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf. I am informed and believe that the matters stated therein are true.
8	Executed on January <u>10</u> , 2018, at Lancaster, California.
8 9	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
10	
11	George M. Lane Signator Signature
12	Print Name of Signator Signature
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	1083368.1 5
MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW	RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET THREE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases Santa Clara County Case No. 1-05-CV-049053	
3	Judicial Council Coordination ("JCCP") No. 4408 California Court of Appeal, Fourth District, Division Two, Case No. E065512	
4	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am	
5	employed in the County of Orange, State of California. My business address is Musick Peeler & Garrett LLP, 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1200, Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925.	
6	$ $ On January $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as: RESPONSE I	
7 REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET THREE, PROPO GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY on the interested parties in this actio		
8		
9		
10		
11	<u>http://www.avwatermaster.org</u> electronic service list and (<u>www.Twolegal.com</u>) for the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, Case No. 2005-1-CV-049053; JCCP 4408.	
12		
BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package add persons at the address listed below and placed the envelope for collection and		
14	following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of Musick, Peeler & Garrett LLP for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing.	
15 On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it i the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sea		
16	with postage fully prepaid. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope was placed in the mail at Costa Mesa, California.	
17	Attorneys for Granite Construction Company:	
18	Robert G. Kuhs Bernard C. Barmann, Jr.	
19	Kuhs & Parker	
20	1200 Truxtun Ave., Ste. 200 P.O. Box 2205	
Bakersfield, CA 93303	Bakersfield, CA 93303	
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
23	Executed on January [2, 2018, at Costa Mesa, California.	
24	Executed on January <u>1</u> , 2018, at Costa Mesa, Camorna.	
25	/s/ Judy Jacobs	
26	Judy Jacobs	
27		
28		
MUSICK, PEELER		
& GARRETT LLP	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET THREE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	
	1	