1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES INCLUDED ACTIONS: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC325201; Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500- CV-254348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668; Rebecca Lee Willis v. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC364553; Wood v. A.V. Materials, Inc., et al. v. Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 509546; and Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc. v. Granite Construction Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. MC026932	Judicial Counsel Coordination No. 4408 Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to Honorable Jack Komar RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
	RE	1 SPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, POUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

PROPOUNDING PARTY: GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY RESPONDING PARTY: LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC. SET NO.: ONE

Pursuant to the provisions of *Code of Civil Procedure* Section 2030.210, *et seq.*, LITTLE
ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC. ("Responding Party" or "Little Rock"), hereby responds to
SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, propounded by GRANITE CONSTRUCTION
COMPANY ("Propounding Party" or "Granite") as follows:

8

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

9 Each of the following responses is made solely for the purpose of this action. Each 10 response is subject to any and all objections to competency, relevance, materiality, proprietary, 11 and admissibility. In addition, each response is subject to any and all objections and/or grounds that would require the exclusion of any statement or material provided, or any part thereof, to any 12 13 interrogatory which were asked of, or any statement or material provided by, witnesses present 14 and testifying in court. All objections are reserved and may be asserted at the time of trial. The 15 responses are based upon information presently available to Responding Party. The fact that 16 Responding Party has responded to or objected to any Interrogatory should not be taken as an 17 admission that the Interrogatory or response thereto constitutes admissible evidence. The mere 18 fact that Responding Party has responded to part of, or all of, any Interrogatory is not intended to 19 be, and shall not constitute a waiver by Responding Party of any objections to the Interrogatory.

Responding Party has not completed its investigation and discovery of the matters at issue in this action and the responses are based upon its knowledge, information and belief as of this date. Responding Party reserves the right to make further responses if it appears that any omission or error has been made in connection with these responses or in the event future or more accurate information is available. The responses are made without prejudice to the right to present at trial such additional evidence as may be later discovered or evaluated.

26

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

27 Responding Party objects to the Special Interrogatories to the extent they request
28 any information protected by any privilege, including the attorney-client privilege and attorney
1083395.1 2

1	work product doctrine. In particular, without waiving the generality of this objection, writings	
2	transmitted by or between Responding Party (or its principals or agents) and its counsel or	
3	prepared and/or maintained internally by counsel, or prepared and/or maintained by Responding	
4	Party in contemplation or in connection with litigation, will not be referred to in these responses.	
5	RESPONSES TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES	
6	SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1:	
7	Do YOU contend that GRANITE breached any provision of the LEASE?	
8	RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1:	
9	At this time, Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc. ("Little Rock") does not contend that	
10	Granite Construction Company ("Granite") has breached the Lease.	
11	SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2:	
12	If YOU contend that GRANITE breached any provision of the LEASE, quote the express	
13	language of the LEASE that YOU contend GRANITE breached.	
14	RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2:	
15	Not applicable.	
16	SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 3:	
17	If YOU contend that GRANITE breached any provision of the LEASE, state the date of	
18	GRANITE'S alleged breach.	
19	RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 3:	
20	Not applicable.	
21	SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 4:	
22	If YOU contend that GRANITE breached any provision of the LEASE, state in detail all	
23	facts supporting YOUR contention.	
24	RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 4:	
25	Not applicable.	
26	SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 5:	
27	If YOU contend that GRANITE breached any provision of the LEASE, identify all	
28	DOCUMENTS supporting YOUR contention.	
2	1083395.1 3 DESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERPOGATORIES SET ONE	
	RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	

1 2

7

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Not applicable.

3 SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Has any representative of GRANITE informed YOU that GRANITE intends to pump and
use all of the 234 AF of groundwater allocated to "Granite Construction Company (Little Rock
Sand and Gravel, Inc.)" indefinitely, including after the expiration of the LEASE?

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

8 Little Rock objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "informed" is vague
9 and ambiguous. Without waiving this objection, Little Rock responds as follows:

10 No representative of Granite has stated to Little Rock that it "intends to pump and use all 11 of the 234 AF of groundwater allocated to 'Granite Construction Company (Little Rock Sand and 12 Gravel, Inc.)' indefinitely, including after the expiration of the Lease" However, Granite's actions 13 in the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases ("AVG Cases") indicate that Granite intends to use all 14 of the 234 AF of groundwater allocated to "Granite Construction Company (Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc.)" indefinitely, including after the expiration of the Lease. Those actions include (1) 15 Granite's introduction of evidence of the amount of groundwater it historically pumped from the 16 17 land it leases from Little Rock in an attempt to obtain an allocation of groundwater in the AVG 18 Cases based on water pumped from the land that it leases, and (2) Granite's refusal throughout the 19 AVG Cases to recognize and accept that the groundwater allocation based on water pumped from 20 the land that Little Rock leases to Granite belongs to the lessor and landowner, Little Rock.

21 SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

If any representative of GRANITE has informed YOU that GRANITE intends to pump
and use all of the 234 AF of groundwater allocated to "Granite Construction Company (Little
Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc.)" indefinitely, including after the expiration of the LEASE, state
verbatim what the representative of GRANITE said.

26 **RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

27 Little Rock objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "informed" is vague28 and ambiguous. Without waiving this objection, Little Rock responds as follows:

1083395.1

Not applicable. See Response to Special Interrogatory No. 6.

2 SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

1

10

If any representative of GRANITE has informed YOU that GRANITE intends to pump
and use all of the 234 AF of groundwater allocated to "Granite Construction Company (Little
Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc.)" indefinitely, including after the expiration of the LEASE, state the
date the representative of GRANITE so informed YOU.

7 **<u>RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 8:</u>**

8 Little Rock objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "informed" is vague
9 and ambiguous. Without waiving this objection, Little Rock responds as follows:

Not applicable. See Response to Special Interrogatory No. 6.

11 SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

If any representative of GRANITE has informed YOU that GRANITE intends to pump
and use all of the 234 AF of groundwater allocated to "Granite Construction Company (Little
Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc.)" indefinitely, including after the expiration of the LEASE, identify all
DOCUMENTS reflecting or containing any such statement by any GRANITE representative.

16 **RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

17 Little Rock objects to this interrogatory on the ground that the term "informed" is vague18 and ambiguous. Without waiving this objection, Little Rock responds as follows:

19 Not applicable. See Response to Special Interrogatory No. 6.

20 SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

21 State in detail all facts that support YOUR allegation in paragraph 21 of YOUR Verified

22 First Amended Complaint "that Defendant GRANITE intends to pump and use all of the

23 Allocated Groundwater indefinitely, including <u>after</u> the expiration of the Lease . . ."

24 **RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

25 Granite's actions in the AVG Cases indicate that Granite intends to use all of the 234 AF

26 of groundwater allocated to "Granite Construction Company (Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc.)"

5

27 || indefinitely, including after expiration of the Lease. Those actions include (1) Granite's

28 introduction of evidence of the amount of groundwater it historically pumped from the land it

1083395.1

leases from Little Rock in an attempt to obtain an allocation of groundwater in the AVG Cases 1 2 based on water pumped from the land it leases, and (2) Granite's refusal throughout the AVG 3 Cases to recognize and accept that the groundwater allocation based on water pumped from the 4 land that Little Rock leases to Granite belongs to the lessor and landowner, Little Rock. 5 Furthermore, the fact that Granite owns land in the Antelope Valley from which it has never pumped any groundwater indicates that Granite intends to obtain a groundwater allocation in the 6 7 AVG Cases based on its historic pumping on the land leased from Little Rock and, thereafter, use 8 that allocation on the land it owns.

9 **SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

10 Identify, by name, address, telephone number and email address, all PERSONS that YOU 11 believe have knowledge of facts supporting YOUR allegation "that Defendant GRANITE intends 12 to pump and use all of the Allocated Groundwater indefinitely, including <u>after</u> the expiration of the Lease . . ." 13

14

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

15 George Lane, President of Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc., who may be contacted

16 through Little Rock's counsel of record;

17 Various employees, officers and directors of Granite, including, without limitation,

William Taylor, Steven McCracken, Dennis Atkinson and James Roberts; and 18

19 The other parties to the AVG Cases, whose contact information already known by or 20 equally available to Granite.

21 **SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

22 Identify all DOCUMENTS that support YOUR allegation "that Defendant GRANITE

23 intends to pump and use all of the Allocated Groundwater indefinitely, including after the

24 expiration of the Lease . . ."

25 **RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

The requested information may be found in the documents produced by Little Rock and/or 26 27 already in the possession of Granite, including without limitation, written correspondence between 28 the parties and the evidence entered in, papers filed in and record of the proceedings in the AVG 1083395.1 6

Cases. However, specifically identifying each document responsive to this Interrogatory would
 require the preparation of a compilation, abstract, audit and/or summary of a voluminous number
 of documents that are in both Little Rock's and Granite's possession. As such a preparation would
 be substantially burdensome and costly to both Little Rock and Granite, Little Rock hereby offers
 to permit review of the documents that have already been produced by it. *Code of Civil Procedure* section 2030.230; *Brotsky v. State Bar of California* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 287.

7

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

8 State in detail all facts that support YOUR allegation in paragraph 21 of YOUR Verified
9 First Amended Complaint "that Defendant GRANITE intends to use all of the Allocated
10 Groundwater to operate quarries and/or mines located outside of the Little Rock Property,
11 including quarries and/or mines on the Adjacent Property and Big Rock Property."

12 **RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13:**

13 Granite's actions in the AVG Cases indicate that Granite intends to use all of the 234 AF 14 of groundwater allocated to "Granite Construction Company (Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc.)" 15 indefinitely, including after expiration of the Lease. Those actions include (1) Granite's 16 introduction of evidence of the amount of groundwater it historically pumped from the land it 17 leases from Little Rock in an attempt to obtain an allocation of groundwater in the AVG Cases 18 based on water pumped from the land it leases, and (2) Granite's refusal throughout the AVG 19 Cases to recognize and accept that the groundwater allocation based on water pumped from the 20 land that Little Rock leases to Granite belongs to the lessor and landowner, Little Rock. 21 Furthermore, the fact that Granite owns land in the Antelope Valley from which it has never 22 pumped any groundwater indicates that Granite intends to obtain a groundwater allocation in the 23 AVG Cases based on its historic pumping on the land leased from Little Rock and, thereafter, use 24 that allocation on the land it owns.

25 SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Identify, by name, address, telephone number and email address, all PERSONS that YOU
believe have knowledge of facts supporting YOUR allegation "that Defendant GRANITE intends
to use all of the Allocated Groundwater to operate quarries and/or mines located outside of the
1083395.1 7

RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

1 Little Rock Property, including quarries and/or mines on the Adjacent Property and Big Rock 2 Property." 3 **RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 14:** 4 George Lane, President of Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc., who may be contacted 5 through Little Rock's counsel of record;

6 Various employees, officers and directors of Granite, including, without limitation,

7 William Taylor, Steven McCracken, Dennis Atkinson and James Roberts; and

8 The other parties to the AVG Cases, whose contact information already known by or 9 equally available to Granite.

10 **SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15:**

11 Identify all DOCUMENTS that support YOUR allegation "that Defendant GRANITE 12 intends to use all of the Allocated Groundwater to operate quarries and/or mines located outside of 13 the Little Rock Property, including quarries and/or mines on the Adjacent Property and Big Rock 14 Property."

15 **RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15:**

16 The requested information may be found in the documents produced by Little Rock and/or 17 already in the possession of Granite, including without limitation, written correspondence between the parties and the evidence entered in, papers filed in and record of the proceedings in the AVG 18 Cases. However, specifically identifying each document responsive to this Interrogatory would 19 20 require the preparation of a compilation, abstract, audit and/or summary of a voluminous number 21 of documents that are in both Little Rock's and Granite's possession. As such a preparation would 22 be substantially burdensome and costly to both Little Rock and Granite, Little Rock hereby offers 23 111 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 111 28 111 1083395.1

8

MUSICK PEELER & GARRETT LLP

1		
1		ve already been produced by it. <i>Code of Civil Procedure</i>
2	section 2030.230; Brotsky v. State Bar of (California (1962) 57 Cal.2d 287.
3		
4	DATED: January $\underline{l'}\underline{\lambda}$, 2018	MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP
5		
6		By:
7		Theodore A. Chester, Jr. Stephen R. Isbell
8		Attorneys for Plaintiff LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC.
9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP	1083395.1	9 RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW		PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

11

y re	
1	VERIFICATION
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	I have read the foregoing RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES , SET ONE , PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY and know its contents.
5 6	I am, President of Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc., a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf. I am informed and believe that the matters stated therein are true.
7	Executed on January <u>10</u> , 2018, at Lancaster, California.
8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
10	
11	George M. Lane Print Name of Signator
12	I fine value of Signator
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	1083395.1
MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP	10 RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW	

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases Santa Clara County Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
3	Judicial Council Coordination ("JCCP") No. 4408 California Court of Appeal, Fourth District, Division Two, Case No. E065512
4 5	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. My business address is Musick Peeler & Garrett LLP, 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1200, Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925.
6	On January 12, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as: RESPONSE TO
7	SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY on the interested parties in this action by posting the document
8 9	listed above to the <u>http://www.avwatermaster.org</u> website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Adjudication matter, pursuant to the Electronic Filing and Service Standing Order of Judge Komar and through the OneLegal website (<u>www.onelegal.com</u>).
10	The file transmission was reported as complete to all parties appearing on the
11	http://www.avwatermaster.org electronic service list and (www.onelegal.com)for the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, Case No. 2005-1-CV-049053; JCCP 4408.
12	
13	BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the address listed below and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of
14	Musick, Peeler & Garrett LLP for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in
15 16	the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope was placed in the mail at Costa Mesa, California.
17	Attorneys for Granite Construction Company:
18	Robert G. Kuhs
19	Bernard C. Barmann, Jr. Kuhs & Parker
20	1200 Truxtun Ave., Ste. 200 P.O. Box 2205
21	Bakersfield, CA 93303
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
23	Executed on January 12, 2018, at Costa Mesa, California.
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	/s/ Judy Jacobs
26	Judy Jacobs
27	
28	1092205.1
MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP	1083395.1 RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, PROPOUNDED BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW	