1		
1 2	SmithTrager LLP Susan M. Trager, Esq. (SBN 58497)	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE § 6103
	Summer L. Nastich, Esq. (SBN 229985) Laurel E. Adcock, Esq. (SBN 234201)	
3	19712 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 120 Irvine, CA 92612	
4	Telephone: (949) 752-8971 Facsimile: (949) 863-9804	
5	smt@smithtrager.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complain Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services Dis	nant frict
7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT	
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS	ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT
11		
12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))) Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding) No. 4408
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053
14	Included Actions:	Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar,
15	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	Department 17
16	No. 40 v.	CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT OF PHELAN PIÑON COMMUNITY
17	Diamond Farming Co., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201) SERVICES DISTRICT
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District))
19	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al.,	
20	Kern County Superior Court, Case No.)
21	S-1500-CV-254-348))
22	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster))
23	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water) }
24	Dist. Riverside County Superior Court,))
25	Consolidated Action, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	<u>}</u>
26	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS	<i>)</i>
27		J
28	<i>\\\</i>	

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD HEREIN:

Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District ("PPHCSD") has participated in the Antelope Valley Mediation Principals ("Principals") efforts, and participated in the August 24, 2010 mediation before Justice Ronald Robie. Representatives selected by the Principals will continue negotiations on behalf of the Principals with the public water purveyors and the United States on matters of mutual concern. PPHCSD will continue to coordinate with the Principals and the representatives in these negotiations. Justice Robie has targeted December 1, 2010, as the date for the parties to reach agreement on the terms of a settlement.

PPHCSD has designated its expert for the safe yield trial. PPHCSD filed and served its expert witness report entitled *Analysis of Historical Groundwater Production by the Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District*.

Parties are discussing convenient dates for expert depositions and the expert depositions should commence on or after September 20, 2010.

Pre-trial discovery is anticipated to be concluded in advance of trial.

PPHCSD will be in a better position to estimate the number and extent of *in limine* motions at the future case management conference.

PPHCSD's estimate of the scope and length of trial is not likely to change even if settlement is achieved among some of the parties because expert evidence must be presented to address arguments by non-settling parties, and for the purposes of proving up on the condition of the basin, to assist in the determination of safe yield.

Dated: September 3, 2010

SmithTrager LLP

X Seer in

Sasan M. Trager

Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District

1 2	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053	
3	PROOF OF SERVICE	
4	I, Marie W. Young, declare:	
5	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and am not a party to the within action; my business address is 19712 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 120,	
6	Irvine, California 92612.	
7	On September 3, 2010, I served the foregoing documents(s) described as CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT OF PHELAN PINON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, as follows:	
8		
9 10	(ELECTRONIC SERVICE) By posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter pursuant to the Court's Clarification Order. Electronic service and electronic posting completed through www.scefiling.org.	
11		
12	(REGULAR MAIL) By enclosing the document(s) listed in sealed envelope(s), addressing as shown below, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.	
13		
14 15		
16	(FEDERAL EXPRESS) By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed overnight	
17	envelope, with delivery fees paid or provided for; addressed as shown below, and depositing it for overnight delivery at a facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier or delivered to a courier or driver authorized to receive documents on its	
18		
19	(FACSIMILE) by transmitting the document(s) listed above via facsimile to the office of	
20	the addressee(s) shown below. A true and correct copy of the transmission report indicating transmission without error is attached hereto.	
21	(PERSONAL SERVICE) By delivering the document(s) listed above in a sealed	
22	envelope addressed to the parties as noted by hand to the offices of the addressee.	
23		
24	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
25	Executed this 3rd day of September, 2010, in Irvine, California.	
26	Maria wa M	
27	Marie W. Young	
28		