1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	POOLE & SHAFFERY, LLP James W. Lewis (SBN 207599) E-mail: jlewis@pooleshaffery.com 400 South Hope Street, Suite 1100 Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 439-5390 Telephone (213) 439-0183 Facsimile  Patrick M. Delaney (SBN 93689) WALSH DELANEY ATTORNEYS 42306 10th Street West, Suite C Lancaster, CA 93534 (661) 945-3184 (661) 945-3184 (661) 945-5695  Attorneys for Cross-defendants LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC. California Corporation, Roe 327; THE FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST, DATED MARCH 5, AS RESTATED JULY 20, 2000, successor in FRANK A. LANE, ROE 612;	1993, interest to
12	THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY TRUST, successor in interest to George M. Lane, Roe 316; MONTE VISTA BUILDING SITES, INC., a California Corporation; A.V. MATERIALS, INC., a California Corporation, ROE 9	
13		
14		
15 16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
17	FOR THE COUN	I I OI LOS ANOLLES
18	ANTELOPE VALLEY	) Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No.
19	GROUNDWATER CASES	) 4408 ) Santa Clava Casa No. 1.05 CV 040052
20	Included Actions:  Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.	<ul><li>Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053</li><li>Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar</li></ul>
	a de angelect alima waterwarks i ncirici Ma	
	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of	MODEL ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND
22	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks	<ul><li>ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS OF CROSS- DEFENDANTS LITTLE ROCK SAND</li></ul>
	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks 2 District No. 40 v. Diamong Farming Co. Superior court of Califronia, County of Kern,	<ul> <li>ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS OF CROSS-DEFENDANTS LITTLE ROCK SAND</li> <li>AND GRAVEL, INC., THE FRANK AND</li> <li>YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST,</li> </ul>
23	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks 2 District No. 40 v. Diamong Farming Co. Superior court of Califronia, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. V. City of Lancaster Diamong	<ul> <li>ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS OF CROSS-DEFENDANTS LITTLE ROCK SAND</li> <li>AND GRAVEL, INC., THE FRANK AND</li> <li>YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST,</li> <li>THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE</li> <li>FAMILY TRUST, MONTE VISTA</li> </ul>
23 24	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks 2 District No. 40 v. Diamong Farming Co. Superior court of Califronia, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. V. City of Lancaster Diamong Farming Co. V. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. V. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior	ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS OF CROSS-DEFENDANTS LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC., THE FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST, THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY TRUST, MONTE VISTA BUILDING SITES, INC. AND A.V.
23 24 25 26	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks 2 District No. 40 v. Diamong Farming Co. Superior court of Califronia, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. V. City of Lancaster Diamong Farming Co. V. City of Lancaster Diamond	ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS OF CROSS-DEFENDANTS LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC., THE FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST, THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY TRUST, MONTE VISTA BUILDING SITES, INC. AND A.V.
23 24 25	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks 2 District No. 40 v. Diamong Farming Co. Superior court of Califronia, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. V. City of Lancaster Diamong Farming Co. V. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. V. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case No. RIC 353 840,	ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS OF CROSS-DEFENDANTS LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC., THE FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST, THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY TRUST, MONTE VISTA BUILDING SITES, INC. AND A.V.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cross-defendants LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC. a California Corporation, Roe
327; THE FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST, DATED MARCH 5, 1993, AS
RESTATED JULY 20, 2000, successor in interest to FRANK A. LANE, ROE 612; THE GEORGE
AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY TRUST, successor in interest to George M. Lane, Roe 316;
MONTE VISTA BUILDING SITES, INC., a California Corporation; A.V. MATERIALS, INC.,
a California Corporation, ROE 9, hereby answer the Complaint and all Cross-Complaints which have
been filed as of this date, specifically those of Antelope Valley East-Kern Water Agency, Palmdale
Water District & Quartz Hill Water District, Rosamond Community Services District and Waterworks
District No. 40 of Los Angeles County

These answering Cross-defendants are aware of the existence of the "Willis Class" and "Wood Class". These answering Cross-defendants expressly decline to join either the Willis Class or Wood Class, and elect to proceed as Cross-defendants.

These answering cross-defendants own the following properties located in the Antelope Valley: LITTLE ROCK SAND & GRAVEL, A.V. MATERIALS, INC., FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST AND MONTE VISTA BUILDING SITES, INC.

The properties are generally located at approximately 70<sup>th</sup> Street East and Avenue T, 1. 80th Street East and Avenue T and 80th Street East and Avenue S in the Little Rock area of the Antelope Valley. The APN Nos. for these properties are 3050-022-010, 3050-022-014, 3050-010-006, 3050-010-016, 3050-028-015.

#### LITTLE ROCK SAND & GRAVEL, INC., A.V. MATERIALS, INC., FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST AND MONTE VISTA BUILDING SITES, INC.

The properties are generally located at approximately 70th Street East and Avenue T, 1. 80th Street East and Avenue T and 80th Street East and Avenue S in the Little Rock area of the Antelope Valley. The APN Nos. for these properties are 3050-011-009, 3051-008-007, 3051-008-005, 3051-008-010, 3051-008-011, 3051-007-015, 3051-005-029, 3051-006-015, 3042-023-002 and 3051-004-006, 3050-028-015, 3042-023-002, 3051-004-006.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

26

#### THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY TRUST

The property is located near the northwest corner of 170th Street West and Avenue A 1. in the Rosamond area, APN Number 261-196-07-00-2.

#### THE FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST

The property is located at the corner of 60<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue L in the Quartz Hill 1. area of Lancaster and consists of approximately 80 acres. The APN Numbers for this 3102-027-034, 3102-027-040, 3102-027-041, 3102-027-042, 3102-027-043.

#### THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY TRUST

The property is located in the vicinity of 85th Street West and Avenue K in Lancaster 1. and consists of approximately 20 acres. The APN Numbers are 3248-024-007, 3248-024-008, 3248-024-031, 3248-024-032.

#### THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY TRUST

The properties total 1200 acres and are located near N-8 and 70th Street West. The APN Numbers for these properties are 3205-001-059, 3205-001-076, and 3205-001-078.

#### GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30(d), Defendants and 1. Cross-Defendants hereby generally denies each and every allegation set forth in the Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and the whole thereof, and further denies that Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant are entitled to any relief against Defendant and Cross-Defendant.

#### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

#### First Affirmative Defense

(Failure to State a Cause of Action)

2. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint and every purported cause of action contained therein fail to allege facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendants and Cross-Defendants.

27

28

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### Second Affirmative Defense

(Statute of Limitation)

3. Each and every cause of action contained in the Complaint and Cross-Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitation, including, but not limited to, sections 318, 319, 321, 338, and 343 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

#### **Third Affirmative Defense**

(Laches)

4. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of laches.

#### **Fourth Affirmative Defense**

(Estoppel)

5. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of estoppel.

#### Fifth Affirmative Defense

(Waiver)

6. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of waiver.

#### Sixth Affirmative Defense

(Self-Help)

7. Defendants and Cross-Defendants has, by virtue of the doctrine of self-help, preserved its paramount overlying right to extract groundwater by continuing, during all times relevant hereto, to extract groundwater and put it to reasonable and beneficial use on its property.

#### **Seventh Affirmative Defense**

(California Constitution Article X, Section 2)

8. Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant's methods of water use and storage are unreasonable and wasteful in the arid conditions of the Antelope Valley and thereby violate Article X, Section 2 of the California Constitution.

# 400 SOUTH HOPE STREET, SUITE 1100

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### **Eighth Affirmative Defense**

(Additional Defenses)

9. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint do not state their allegations with sufficient clarity to enable defendant and cross-defendant to determine what additional defenses may exist to Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant's causes of action. Defendants and Cross-defendants therefore reserve the right to assert all other defenses which may pertain to the Complaint and Cross-

#### **Ninth Affirmative Defense**

10. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are ultra vires and exceed the statutory authority by which each entity may acquire property as set forth in Water Code sections 22456, 31040 and 55370.

#### **Tenth Affirmative Defense**

11. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred by the provisions of Article 1 Section 19 of the California Constitution.

#### **Eleventh Affirmative Defense**

12. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred by the provisions of the 5th Amendment to the United States Constitution as applied to the states under the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.

#### **Twelfth Affirmative Defense**

13. Cross-Complainants' prescriptive claims are barred due to their failure to take affirmative steps that were reasonably calculated and intended to inform each overlying landowner of cross-complainants' adverse and hostile claim as required by the due process clause of the 5th and 14th Amendments of the United States Constitution.

#### Thirteenth Affirmative Defense

14. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred by the provisions of Article 1 Section 7 of the California Constitution.

#### **Fourteenth Affirmative Defense**

15. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred by the provisions of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### Fifteenth Affirmative Defense

16. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants were permissively pumping at all times.

#### Sixteenth Affirmative Defense

The request for the court to use its injunctive powers to impose a physical solution seeks 17. a remedy that is in violation of the doctrine of separation of powers set forth in Article section 3 of the California Constitution.

#### **Seventeenth Affirmative Defense**

18. Cross-Complainants are barred from asserting their prescriptive claims by operation of law as set forth in Civil Code sections 1007 and 1214.

#### **Eighteenth Affirmative Defense**

Each Cross-Complainant is barred from recovery under each and every cause of 19. action contained in the Cross-Complaint by the doctrine of unclean hands and/or unjust enrichment.

#### **Nineteenth Affirmative Defense**

20. The Cross-Complaint is defective because it fails to name indispensable parties in violation of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 389(a).

#### **Twentieth Affirmative Defense**

21. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred from taking, possessing or using cross-defendants' property without first paying just compensation.

#### **Twenty-First Affirmative Defense**

22. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants are seeking to transfer water right priorities and water usage which will have significant effects on the Antelope Valley Groundwater basin and the Antelope Valley. Said actions are being done without complying with and contrary to the provisions of California's Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 et seq.).

#### **Twenty-Second Affirmative Defense**

23. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants seek judicial ratification of a project that has had and will have a significant effect on the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin and the Antelope Valley that was implemented without providing notice in contravention of the provisions of California's Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 et seq.).

## 400 SOUTH HOPE STREET, SUITE 1100 POOLE & SHAFFERY, LLP FACSIMILE (213) 439-0183

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### **Twenty-Third Affirmative Defense**

Any imposition by this court of a proposed physical solution that reallocates the water 24. right priorities and water usage within the Antelope Valley will be ultra vires as it will be subverting the pre-project legislative requirements and protections of California's Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 et seq.).

WHEREFORE, Defendants and Cross-defendants prays that judgment be entered as follows:

- That Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant take nothing by reason of its Complaint or 1. Cross-Complaint;
  - That the Complaint and Cross-Complaints be dismissed with prejudice; 2.
  - For Defendants and Cross-Defendants' costs incurred herein; and 3.
  - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: December 13, 2011

POOLE & SHAFFERY, LLP

By:

Attorneys for Cross-defendants

LITTLÉ ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC. a

California Corporation, Roe 327.;

THE FRANK AND YVONNE LANE

1993 FAMILY TRUST, DATED MARCH 5, 1993, AS RESTATED JULY 20, 2000, successor in interest to

FRANK A. LANE, ROE 612;

THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY TRUST, successor in interest to George M. Lane, Roe

316; MONTE VISTA BUILDING SITES, INC.,

a California Corporation; A.V. MATERIALS, INC.,

a California Corporation, ROE 9

### POOLE & SHAFFERY, LLP 400 SOUTH HOPE STREET, SUITE 1100 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071 TELEPHONE (213) 439-5390 FACSIMILE (213) 439-0183

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 400 S. Hope Street, Suite 1100, Los Angeles, CA 97001.

On December 13, 2011, I served the foregoing document described as:

MODEL ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS OF CROSS-DEFENDANTS LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC., THE FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST, THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY TRUST, MONTE VISTA BUILDING SITES, INC. AND A.V. MATERIALS, INC.

- X BY SANTA CLARA SUPERIOR COURT E-FILING IN COMPLEX LITIGATION PURSUANT TO CLARIFICATION ORDER DATED OCTOBER 27, 2005.
- X (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

DATED: December 13, 2011

JAMES W. LEWIS