1	James W. Lewis (SBN 207599)											
	TAYLOR & RING											
2	10900 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 920 Los Angeles, California 90024											
3	Telephone: (310) 209-4100											
	Facsimile: (310) 208-5052											
4												
	Attorneys for Cross-defendants,											
5	LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC.,											
6	a California Corporation; THE GEORGE AND CHARLENE LANE FAMILY	r mpiicm.										
Ь	THE FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMI											
7	TRUST, DATED MARCH 5, 1993, AS REST											
	JULY 20, 2000; MONTE VISTA BUILDING											
8	a California Corporation; A.V. MATE											
	a California Corporation; A.C. WARN											
9	as Trustee of the A.C. WARNACK TRUS	51;										
10	successor in interest to											
_ 0	LITTLEROCK AGGREGATE CO., INC. dba ANTELOPE VALLEY AGGREGATE, INC.; LITTLEROCK AGGREGATE CO., INC. dba											
11												
12	ANTELOPE VALLEY AGGREGATE, INC.											
13 												
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA										
1 [-	FOR THE COUNTY OF	TIOS ANGELES										
15	101 1111 000111 0											
16		Judicial Council Coordination No										
	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	4408										
17	 Included Actions:											
18	Included Accions:	For filing purposes only: Santa Clara County Case No.										
то	Los Angeles County Waterworks	1-05-CV-049053										
19	District No. 40 v. Diamond) [Assigned to the Honorable Jack										
	Farming Co. Superior Court of	Komar]										
20	California County of Los Angeles,											
0 1	Case No. BC 325 201	DECLARATION OF PETER H. POUWELS IN LIEU OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY										
21	Los Angeles County Waterworks 2	FOR PHASE 4 TRIAL										
22	District No. 40 v. Diamong)										
	Farming Co. Superior court of											
23	Califronia, County of Kern, Case											
24	No. S-1500-CV-254-348))										

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. V. City 1 of Lancaster Diamong Farming Co. V. City of Lancaster Diamond 2 Farming Co. V. Palmdale Water 3 Dist. Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case No. 4 RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 5 668 6 7 **DECLARATION** 8 I. PETER H. POUWELS, declare: 9 I am a representative of Holliday Rock Co., Inc., a party to this action. In lieu of 10 deposition testimony for the Phase 4 trial, I am providing this declaration. I was previously an 11 area manager for Holliday Rock Co., Inc., which included overseeing its High Desert area 12 aggregate plants. I have over thirty years of experience in the aggregate processing and ready 13 mix concrete industries. I am familiar with the manner of operation of Holliday Rock Co., Inc.'s 14 plants that are operated on the properties Holiday Rock Co., Inc. leases from Littlerock Aggregate Co., Inc. dba Antelope Valley Aggregate, Inc. and Littlerock Sand and Gravel, Inc. in 15 the Littlerock area of the Antelope Valley. This declaration applies only to the categories I have 16 filled in. The items left blank or crossed out do not apply to me. I have personal knowledge of 17 each fact herein and would testify competently thereto under oath. 18 **Property Ownership and Parcel Size** 19 owns property that overlies the Antelope Valley Area of 20 Adjudication as decided by this Court. The land is in County and is 21 identified by the following APN/APNs: 22 23 [If additional room is needed, please identify the APN/APNs in Exhibit A.] A true and correct 24

1	copy of Exhibit A-is-attached hereto and incorporated herein.
2	3claims groundwater rights only as to the properties listed in
3	Paragraph 2- and Exhibit A.
4	4. For each APN/APNs identified above, the total acreage by parcel is as follows:
5	
6	
7	[If additional room is needed, please identify the APN/APNs and parcel size in Exhibit B.] A
8	true and correct copy of Exhibit B is attached hereto and incorporated herein.
	5. For each APN/APNs identified aboveowned the property during
9	the following timer period:
10	
11	6. The following are all individuals/entities appearing on the title for the above identified
12	APN/APNS from Jan 1, 2000 to the present:
13	
14	7. For each individual/entity identified in paragraph 6 that individual/entity appeared on the
15	title during the following time:
16	8. (declarant or party affiliated with declarant) leases property that
17	own and that overlies the Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication as
18	decided by this court and identified by the following APNS:
19	
20	9. The total acreage by parcel is:
21	
22	
23	10. The property is currently leased to:
24	
	3

1	11. The property was leased on the following dates:
2	
3	-
4	12. The lease provides that may claim groundwater rights from the use of
5	water on the leased property. Attached to this declaration is a true and correct copy of the lease.
6	[If additional room is needed, please list APN/APNs, acreage by APN, Lessee by APN and dates
7	for each Lessee by APN for each parcel in Exhibit C.] A true and correct copy of Exhibit C is
	attached hereto and incorporated herein.
8	13 leases property from which overlies the
9	Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication as decided by this court and is identified by the following
-0	APNS:
1	
_2	14. The total acreage by parcel is:
.3	
.4	-
L5	15. The Lease provides that may claim groundwater rights from use of
	water on leased property. Attached to this declaration is a true and correct copy of the lease.
L6	
L7	[If additional room is needed, please attach APN/APNs, Name of the Lessor and acreage by
L8	APN for each parcel-list in Exhibit D to this declaration.] A true and correct copy of Exhibit D is
L9	attached hereto and incorporated herein.
20	16claims groundwater rights only as to the leasehold interests
21	listed in Paragraph 15 and Exhibit D.
22	17 claims groundwater rights only as to the properties listed in
23	Paragraph 2 and Exhibit A and as to the leasehold interests listed in Paragraph 8 and Exhibit C.
	18. To the best of my knowledge, onlyclaims groundwater rights as to the
24	leased parcel(s) identified in paragraph 15 and Exhibit D.
	4

Water Meter Records

19. On information and belief, measures the
groundwater production on the above referenced properties by water meters. Exhibit E contains
the records for these water meters for the following years: 2008 through 2012. A true and
correct copy of Exhibit E is attached hereto and incorporated herein.
20. Exhibit F sets forth the total yearly production amounts by metered water well on the
above referenced properties for the years 2000-2004, 2011, and 2012. A true and correct copy of
Exhibit F is attached hereto and incorporated herein.
State Water Project Purchases
21purchases State Water Project water from a State Water
Contractor for use by on the properties referenced above. Exhibit G
contains true and correct copies of the invoices for delivery of State Water Project Water to the
properties referenced above.
22. Exhibit H sets forth the total yearly State Water Project water deliveries to the properties
referenced above for the years 2000-2004, 2011, and 2012. A true and correct copy of Exhibit H
is attached hereto and incorporated herein.
Pump Tests/ Electric Records
23. In order to calculate groundwater pumped and used on the properties referenced above,
relied on pump tests and electric records. Exhibit I contains true and correct
copies of the pump test records and electrical records for wells on the properties referenced
above. The electric records attached to this declaration as Exhibit I do not include electric use or
the properties referenced above for anything other than pumping groundwater.
24. Exhibit J sets forth the amount of total yearly groundwater that
estimates was pumped and used on the properties referenced above for the years 2000-2004,
2011, and 2012 based on the attached pump test records and electrical records for the wells on
the properties referenced above. A true and correct copy of Exhibit J is attached hereto and
incorporated herein-

1	25. Pump tests were performed on the following dates:
2	
3	26 is not producing pump test records for the following
4	datesbecause:
5	
6	27. I am not aware of any other pump tests having been performed on the properties
7	referenced above.
8	Pump Tests/Diesel Records
	28. In order to calculate groundwater pumped and used on the properties referenced above,
9	relied on pump tests and diesel fuel records. Exhibit K contains
10	true and correct copies of the records pertaining to pump tests and diesel fuel purchases for the
11	properties referenced above. The diesel fuel records attached to this declaration as Exhibit K do
12	not include diesel fuel used on the properties referenced above for anything other than pumping
13	groundwater.
14	29. Exhibit L sets forth the amounts of total yearly groundwater pumped and used on the
	properties referenced above for the years 2000-2004, 2011, and 2012. A true and correct copy of
15	Exhibit L is attached hereto and incorporated herein.
16	30. Pump tests were performed on the following dates:
17	
18	31is not producing pump test records for the following
19	datesbecause:
20	•
21	32. I am not aware of any other pump tests having been performed on the properties
22	referenced above.
	Crop Duties and Irrigated Acres
23	33. In order to calculate water use on the properties referenced above, The George and
24	Charlene Lane Family Trust relies on the amount of acres in irrigation on the properties
	6

1	39 used acre feet of water on APN# in 2003. The
2	water was used for the following:
3	
4	State the crop type and number of acres of that crop. If not used for irrigation, describe the use.
5	In lieu of answering this question, a crop map may be attached that shows the date, crop type,
6	irrigated acreage and parcels.]
7	40used acre feet of water on APN# in 2004. The
8	water was used for the following:
9	[State the crop type and number of acres of that crop. If not used for irrigation, describe the use.
10	In lieu of answering this question, a crop map may be attached that shows the date, crop type,
11	irrigated acreage and parcels.]
12	41 used acre feet of water on APN# in 2011. The
13	water was used for the following:
14	•
15	State the crop type and number of acres of that crop. If not used for irrigation, describe the use.
16	In lieu of answering this question, a crop map may be attached that shows the date, crop type,
17	irrigated acreage and parcels.]
	42used acre feet of water on APN# in 2012. The
18	water was used for the following:
19	
20	[State the crop type and number of acres of that crop. If not used for irrigation, describe the use.
21	In lieu of answering this question, a crop map may be attached that shows the date, crop type,
22	irrigated acreage and parcels.]
23	43. Other than what is declared hereinabove,did not produce or use
24	water within the Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication for 2000-2004, 2011, and 2012.

- 44. Attached hereto as Exhibit A are true and correct copies of Productivity Rock Plant Summary records for the years 2008 through 2012. The designation "Antelope Valley RP" on the Productivity Rock Plant Summary records relates to data collected and maintained for the aggregate facility that is operated by Holliday Rock, Inc. on the properties owned by Littlerock Aggregate Co., Inc. dba Antelope Valley Aggregate, Inc. that are discussed herein.
- 45. The designation "Palmdale RP" on the Productivity Rock Plant Summary records relates to data collected and maintained for the aggregate facility that is operated by Holliday Rock, Inc. on the properties owned by Littlerock Sand and Gravel, Inc. that are discussed herein.
- 46. I used the attached Productivity Rock Plant Summary records in calculating the amount of groundwater used at the parcels Holliday Rock Co., Inc. leases from Littlerock Aggregate Co., Inc. dba Antelope Valley Aggregate, Inc. and the Littlerock Sand and Gravel, Inc. facility.
- 47. Attached hereto collectively as Exhibit E are true and correct copies of pump tests performed by Southern California Edison Company on January 17, 2013. One of the pump tests relates to a test on the pump in the pond at the Holliday Rock facility leased from Littlerock Sand and Gravel, Inc. The other pump test relates to the pump in the pond at the Holliday Rock facility leased from Littlerock Aggregate Co., Inc. dba Antelope Valley Aggregate, Inc. The pond pump at the Littlerock Sand and Gravel, Inc. location is referenced as the "Plmdle Sup PMP" on its pond pump test. The pond pump at the Littlerock Aggregate Co., Inc. dba Antelope Valley Aggregate, Inc. facility is referenced as the "AV Supply Pump" on its pond pump test.
- 48. The two sites are operated independently and water pumped from the two sites is not commingled. There is a freshwater pond at each facility. The pumps are turbine booster pumps that float in their respective ponds on pontoons ("pond pumps") at each site. Groundwater is pumped from the groundwater wells at the respective sites into each site's respective freshwater pond. The groundwater is pumped into the ponds from the groundwater wells rather than directly to the rock processing plants given that the rock processing plants require more water during operation than the capacity of the respective groundwater well pumps. Each pond pump is operating at all times that its correlating rock plant is in operation. The water from each pond

EXHIBIT "A"

Colton RP	Hours	Hours Ran	Hours	Percent Up	Tons	ТРН	
San Berdo RP			4				
Antelope Valley RP	101.00	93.50	7.50	92.57%	. 59,950.00	320.32	
Mojave RP Mojave Wet Plant							
Palmdale RP	1 000 00	1 679 50	221.50	87.98%	675,613.00	402.27	
Campus RP	6.707.41						
Dam							
<u>Portable</u>							
Foothill RP							
Mountain View RP							
SASG							
					,		

Date Range Selected 1/1/2008 To 12/31/2008

Productivity- Rock Plant Summary

Page 88 of 89

Date Range Selected 1/1/2009 To 12/31/2009
ctivity- Rock Plant Summary

	Hours Scheduled	Hours	Hours Down	Percent Up	Tons Produced	TPH
Colton RP						
San Berdo RP						
Antelope Valley RP	1,404.95	1,087.80	317.15	77.43%	318,017.00	292.35
Mojave RP Mojave Wet Plant						
Palmdale RP	1,932.25	1,623.00	309.25	84.00%	658,959.00	406.01
Campus RP						
Foothill RP						
Mountain View RP						
Portable						
SASG						

Date Range Selected 1/1/2010 To 12/31/2010
Productivity- Rock Plant Summary

Colton RP	Hours Scheduled	Hours Ran	Hours	Percent Up	Tons Produced	TPH
San Berdo RP						
Antelope Valley RP	1,367.90	1,196.05	171.85	87.44%	361,834.00	302.52
Mojave RP						
Mojave Wet Plant						
Palmdale RP	1,807.50	1,521.75	285.75	84.19%	620,495.00	407.75
Campus RP						
Foothill RP						
Mountain View RP	,					
Portable						
SASG Yard TTIs						
Yard TTIs						

							,						
	TPH	,			260.53		·	380.03					
31/2011	Tons Produced				165,044.00			501,836.00	·				
Date Range Selected 1/1/2011 To 12/31/2011	Percent Up				%60'96			%99.06					
nge Selected 1	Hours Down				25.75			136.00					
Date Rai	Hours Ran		-		633.50			1 320 50					
	Hours Scheduled				56059				מייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי				
Productivity- Rock Plant Summary		Mojave RP	Mojave Wet Plant	San Berdo RP	Antelope Valley RP	Moisve RP	Mojave Wet Plant	Palmdale RP	Campus RP	Foothill RP	Mountain View RP	SASG	

Page 95 of 96

Page 97 of 98	

	Hours Scheduled	Hours Ran	Hours Down	Percent Up	Tons Produced	TPH	
San Berdo RP							
					·		
Antelope Valley RP	760.25	755.25	5.00	99.34%	226,775.00	300.26	
Mojave RP Mojave Wet Plant							
Palmdale RP	1.651.75	1,627.75	24.00	98.55%	645,944.00	396.83	
Campus RP							
Foothill RP							
Mountain View RP							
SASG							

Date Range Selected 1/1/2012 To 12/31/2012

Productivity- Rock Plant Summary

EXHIBIT "E"

Confidential/Proprietary Information



January 18, 2013

DEAN BROWNING HOLLIDAY ROCK COMPANY 1401 N. BENSON AVE. **UPLAND, CA 91786**

HYDRAULIC TEST RESULTS, Plant; AV SUPPLY PUMP

Location: 7311 E AVENUE T

HP: 100.0

Cust #: 0-000-0096

Serv. Acct. #: 032-2974-30

Meter: PO726-3357 Pump Ref.#: 25310

In accordance with your request, an energy efficiency test was performed on your turbine booster pump on January 17, 2013. If you have any questions regarding the results which follow, please contact RICK KOCH at (805)654-7312.

_		
Eau	ion	ient

L&B Pump: No: D20763 Motor: **FAIRB** No: B-9009

Results	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3
Discharge Pressure, PSI	64.5	38.0	44.7
Discharge Head, Feet	149.0	87.8	103.3
Suction Head or Lift, Feet	1.4	1.4	1.4
Total Head, Feet	150.4	89.2	104.7
Capacity, GPM	155	1,063	835
Acre Feet Pumped in 24 Hours	.685	4.698	3.691
kW Input to Motor	32.6	41.4	36.5
HP Input to Motor	43.7	55.5	48.9
Motor Load (%)	40.3	51.2	45.1
Measured Speed of Pump, RPM	1,793		
kWh per Acre Foot	1,142	212	237
Overall Plant Efficiency (%)	13.5	43.1	45.1

The above test results indicate various operating conditions of this pump. Test #1 was performed with the plant off and the water truck filling. Test #2 is the normal operating point with the plant on and the water truck drawing water. Test #3 was performed with only the plant on.

RUSS JOHNSON Manager Hydraulic Services

Confidential/Proprietary Information SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA



January 18, 2013

DEAN BROWNING HOLLIDAY ROCK COMPANY 1401 N. BENSON AVE. **UPLAND, CA 91786**

PUMPING COST ANALYSIS, Plant: AV SUPPLY PUMP

Location: 7311 E AVENUE T

HP: 100.0

Cust #: 0-000-0096

Serv. Acct. #;

032-2974-30

Meter: PO726-3357

Pump Ref.#:

25310

The following energy efficiency analysis is presented as an aid to your cost accounting. This is an estimate based on the conditions present during Edison pump test number 2 performed on January 17, 2013, billing history for the past 12 months, and your current rate of GS-2.

Assuming that water requirements will be the same as for the past year, and all operating conditions (annual hours of operation, head above, and water pumping level) will remain the same as they were at the time of the pump test, it is estimated that:

- 1. Overall plant efficiency can be improved from 43.1% to 69.0%.
- This can save you up to 36,952 kWh and \$6,360.21 annually.
 These kWh savings translate to a 16-ton decrease in CO₂ emissions.

	<u>Existing</u>	Plant Efficiency <u>Improved</u>	<u>Savings</u>
Total kWh	98,556	61,604	36,952
kW Input	41.4	25,9	15.5
kWh per Acre Foot	212	132	79
Acre Feet per Year	466.0		
Average Cost per kWh	\$0.17		
Average Cost per Acre Foot	\$36.41	\$22.76	\$13.65
Overall Plant Efficiency (%)	43.1	69.0	410100
Total Annual Cost	\$16,963.46	\$10,603,25	\$6,360.21

It is sincerely hoped that this information will prove helpful to you, and that your concerns over maintaining optimum pumping efficiency will be continued. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact RICK KOCH at (805)654-7312,

RUSS JOHNSON Manager Hydraulic Services



Save Energy, Save Money... Your test results show that you can!

January 18, 2013

DEAN BROWNING HOLLIDAY ROCK COMPANY 1401 N. BENSON AVE. **UPLAND, CA 91786**

PUMPING COST ANALYSIS, Plant: AV SUPPLY PUMP

Location: 7311 E AVENUE T

HP: 100.0

Cust #: 0-000-0096

Serv. Acct. #;

032-2974-30

Meter:

PO726-3357

Pump Ref.#:

25310

Dear SCE Customer:

Helping California businesses save energy and money is a major goal at SCE. As you know, our Technical Specialist performed a free energy efficiency test on one or more pumps at your facility on January 17, 2013. We thank you for the opportunity to provide this service, and appreciate your interest in the performance of your pumps.

The results of test number 2, shown in the table below, indicate that the pump listed above has the potential for improved Overall Plant Efficiency (OPE), lower energy costs, and a cash incentive.

	Pro	jected Incentive, Ener	gy, and Cost Savings	
	<u>Existing</u>	<u>Improved</u>	<u>Savings</u>	Cash Incentive
Total kWh kW Input	98,556 41.4	61,604 25.9	36,952 15.5	\$2,956.17
kW on-peak activity factor * Acre Feet per Year	466.0		10.1	\$1,008.95
kWh per Acre Foot Average Cost per Acre Foot	212 \$36.41	132 \$22.76	79 \$13.65	
Overall Plant Efficiency (%)	43.1	69.0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Annual Total	\$16,963.46	\$10,603.25	\$6,360.21	\$3,965,12

(*The kW on-peak activity factor represents how the kW impacts the SCE system during on-peak periods as determined by SCE's agricultural and water pumping customers' average load profiles. By improving efficiency, your expected kW savings is 15.5 kW, and the savings used for incentive calculations is 65% of 15.5, or 10.1 kW.)

Case studies have shown that repairing, retrofitting, or replacing Inefficient pumps can save energy and money, and may even help you avoid serious operational problems. For your business, this could mean the following:

- Improved Plant Effliciency: Your OPE can be improved from 43.1% to 69.0%.
- Lower Energy Costs: Based on the test data, your past energy usage, and your current rate of GS-2, we estimate that you may save up to 36,952 kWh annually (which translates to a 16-ton decrease in CO₂ emissions). This may result in energy cost savings of \$6,360,21.
- Cash Incentive: Through the retrofit and installation of more energy-efficient equipment, you have the potential to receive an incentive of \$0.08 per kWh and \$100 per on-peak activity factored kW reduced, courtesy of SCE's Customized Efficiency Program. Based on your estimated kWh and kW, you would be eligible for a Potential Cash Incentive of \$3,965.12, capped at 50% of your project cost. (See contract for details.)

If you are interested in an incentive for this pump, please contact EDWARD AREVALO at (626)633-7157 to complete a project application. All applicants must receive a written approval authorization before implementing any project; failure to comply will result in forfeiture of incentive funding.

We encourage you to review your results and take advantage of SCE's energy efficiency expertise and incentives. Visit www.sce.com/rebatesandsavings, or give us a call and let us know how we can be of further service to you.

Sincerely.

Southern California Edison

Program funded by California utility ratepayers, and administered by Southern California Edison under the auspices of the California Public Utilities Commission. 10180 Telegraph Road Ventura, CA 93004

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON INTERNATIONAL® COmpany

Confidential/Proprietary Information

January 18, 2013

DEAN BROWNING HOLLIDAY ROCK COMPANY 1401 N. BENSON AVE. UPLAND, CA 91786

HYDRAULIC TEST RESULTS, Plant: PLMDLE SUP PMP

Location: 7747 E AVENUE T

HP: 100,0

Cust #: 0-000-0096

Serv. Acct. #:

011-4080-74

Meter: V

V349R-147 Pump Ref.#:

25311

In accordance with your request, an energy efficiency test was performed on your turbine booster pump on January 17, 2013. If you have any questions regarding the results which follow, please contact RICK KOCH at (805)654-7312.

Equipment

Pump:

L. & B

No: D23049

Motor:

GE

No: HNJ803118

Results

Discharge Pressure, PSI Discharge Head, Feet Suction Head or Lift, Feet 4.5 Total Head, Feet Capacity, GPM 1,235 Acre Feet Pumped in 24 Hours 5,459 kW Input to Motor 92.0 HP input to Motor 123.4 Motor Load (%) 113.7 Measured Speed of Pump, RPM 1,782 kWh per Acre Foot 405 Overall Plant Efficiency (%)

The pump could not be shutdown at the time of the test. Therefore, An overall pump efficiency was not determined because the total head was undetermined.

RUSS JOHNSON Manager Hydraulic Services

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA An EDISON INTERNATIONAL® Company

Confidential/Proprietary Information

January 18, 2013

DEAN BROWNING HOLLIDAY ROCK COMPANY 1401 N. BENSON AVE. **UPLAND, CA 91786**

PUMPING COST ANALYSIS, Plant: PLMDLE SUP PMP

Location: 7747 E AVENUE T

HP: 100.0

Cust #: 0-000-0096

Serv. Acct. #:

011-4080-74

Meter: V349R-147

Pump Ref.#:

25311

The following energy efficiency analysis is presented as an aid to your cost accounting. This is an estimate based on the conditions present during the Edison pump test performed on January 17, 2013, billing history for the past 12 months, and your current rate of TGS3-CPP.

	<u>Existing</u>
Total kWh	263,124
kW Input	92.0
kWh per Acre Foot	405
Acre Feet per Year	650.4
Average Cost per kWh	\$0.18
Average Cost per Acre Foot	\$71.38
Total Annual Cost	\$46,422,97

It is sincerely hoped that this information will prove helpful to you, and that your concerns over maintaining optimum pumping efficiency will be continued. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact RICK KOCH at (805)654-7312.

RUSS JOHNSON Manager Hydraulic Services EXHIBIT "F"

2	<u>Year</u>	Well	Yearly Production
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1 |

EXHIBIT "G"

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases Case No. BC 325 301, et. al. Palmdale Site

Holliday Rock Co., Inc. provides the following regarding Assessor's Parcel Numbers 3051-008-007, 3051-008-005, 3051-008-010, 3051-008-011, 3051-007-008, 3051-007-015, 3051-005-029, 3051-006-015, 3042-023-002, and 3051-004-006, all of which are located in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Holliday leases these properties from Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc. under a long term lease which is subject to Conditional Use Permit CUP 96-4.

The process involved in the manufacture of aggregates and concrete require substantial amounts of water. The following is a description of the aggregate manufacturing process. Raw rock, sand and gravel are extracted from the site below grade and brought to the processing plant via land conveyors. The aggregate processing plant uses water to wash the fines and deleterious materials out of the raw minerals to achieve cleanliness measures per the specifications for construction materials. The plant is subject to a number of governmental authorities and permits. The Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District requires the use of water for dust suppression both in the plant as well as in and around the entire site (over 300 acres). Holliday controls two industrial wells on site and uses them in tandem to fill a fresh water pond capable of supplying all the water needs for its industrial processes. The fresh water pond has a pond pump which pumps the water to the rock plant. The rock plant water consumption is 1235 gallons per minute, based on hydraulic test results on the pond pump performed on January 17, 2013. The throughput capacity of the aggregate plant (tons per hour) is shown for Years 2011 and 2012 based on belt scale readings, and production reports. Belt scale readings were not available for the Water Years 2000 through 2004. Thus an average plant production rate was derived from data (belt scale readings) for the Water Years 2008 through 2010 and applied to the 2000 – 2004 years, as detailed below.

The on-site ready mixed concrete plant uses the aggregate supplied by the rock plant and mixes them with cement and water to produce concrete. Each yard of concrete produced requires at least 30 gallons of water per the mix design. Additionally, each truck has a 100 gallon water tank which is filled with each 10 yard load. Thus the total water used per cubic yard of concrete is 40 gallons.

Below is Holliday Rock Co., Inc.'s annual water usage for the year 2011 and 2012, and for the years 2000 through 2004. The assumptions to the calculations were based on actual production numbers (tons of rock and sand produced and sold) for the aggregate plant and yards of concrete shipped and sold for the ready mix plant, in tandem with the factors listed above. Additionally, water used for dust suppression in and around the 300 acre site has been shown separately.

YEAR	<u>2011</u>	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons)	501,836	
b) Plant Production (tons/hour)	380	
c) Hours of Production (a/b)	1321	
d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60)	74,100	
e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons	97,886,100	
f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	300.45	
Ready Mix Plant Water Usage		
a) Annual Production (yards)	23,825	
b) Water Usage (40 gal./yd)	953,000	
c) Acre Feet (b/325,800)	2.93	
Site Dust Suppression		
a) 3000 gallon water truck – 6 trips/dayb) Operating Days : 250	18,000	
c) Water Usage (18,000 gals/day x 250)	4,500,000	
d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	13.81	
	2011 TOTAL 317.19 ACRE-FEET	
•		
<u>YEAR</u>	<u>2012</u>	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage		
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons)	645,944	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr)	645,944 397	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b)	645,944 397 1628	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60)	645,944 397 1628 74,100	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons	645,944 397 1628	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	645,944 397 1628 74,100 120,634,800	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800) Ready Mix Plant Water Usage	645,944 397 1628 74,100 120,634,800 370.2 7	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800) Ready Mix Plant Water Usage a) Annual Production (yards)	645,944 397 1628 74,100 120,634,800 370.27	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800) Ready Mix Plant Water Usage a) Annual Production (yards) b) Water Usage (40 gal./yd)	645,944 397 1628 74,100 120,634,800 370.27 39,248 1,569,920	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800) Ready Mix Plant Water Usage a) Annual Production (yards)	645,944 397 1628 74,100 120,634,800 370.27	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800) Ready Mix Plant Water Usage a) Annual Production (yards) b) Water Usage (40 gal./yd) c) Acre Feet (b/325,800) Site Dust Suppression	645,944 397 1628 74,100 120,634,800 370.27 39,248 1,569,920 4.82	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800) Ready Mix Plant Water Usage a) Annual Production (yards) b) Water Usage (40 gal./yd) c) Acre Feet (b/325,800) Site Dust Suppression a) 3000 gallon water truck – 6 trips/day	645,944 397 1628 74,100 120,634,800 370.27 39,248 1,569,920	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800) Ready Mix Plant Water Usage a) Annual Production (yards) b) Water Usage (40 gal./yd) c) Acre Feet (b/325,800) Site Dust Suppression a) 3000 gallon water truck – 6 trips/day b) Operating Days: 250	645,944 397 1628 74,100 120,634,800 370.27 39,248 1,569,920 4.82	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hr) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual Water Used (c x d) gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800) Ready Mix Plant Water Usage a) Annual Production (yards) b) Water Usage (40 gal./yd) c) Acre Feet (b/325,800) Site Dust Suppression a) 3000 gallon water truck – 6 trips/day	645,944 397 1628 74,100 120,634,800 370.27 39,248 1,569,920 4.82	

•

YEAR	2008*	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage		
a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons)	675,613	
b) Plant Production (tons/hour)	402	
c) Hours of Production (a/b)	1680	
d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60)	74,100	
e) Annual water used – gallons	124,488,000	
f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	382.10	
, , ,		
X/E A D	20004	
YEAR	<u> 2009*</u>	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage		
a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons)	658,959	
b) Plant Production (tons/hour)	406	
c) Hours of Production (a/b)	1623	
d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60)	74,100	
e) Annual water used – gallons	120,264,300	
f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	369.14	
<u>YEAR</u>	<u>2010*</u>	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage		
a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons)	620,495	
b) Plant Production (tons/hour)	408	
c) Hours of Production (a/b)	1522	
d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60)	74,100	
e) Annual water used – gallons	112,780,200	
f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	346.16	
-,	0 10110	

^{*}Establish Average Plant Production Number (tons/hour) 402 + 406 + 408 = 1216/3 = 405 TPH

This <u>405 TPH</u> Average will be used in the calculations for the Water Years 2000 – 2004 to determine the Plant's Hours of Production (given the annual Aggregate Production in tons). The hours of production will then be multiplied against the hourly water usage to determine total water used in the plant for that year.

YEAR	2000
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	124,697 405 308 74,100 22,822,800 70.05
Ready Mix Plant Usage a) Annual Aggregate Purchased (tons) b) Convert to cubic yards (a/1.6) c) Water Usage (40 gal./yd x b) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	84,800 53,000 2,120,000 6.50
Site Dust Suppression a) 3000 gallon water truck – 8 trips/day b) Operating Days: 250 c) Water Usage (3000 x 8 x 250) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	6,000,000 18.41 TOTAL: 94.96
YEAR	<u>2001</u>
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	291,844 405 721 74,100 53,426,100 163.98
Ready Mix Plant Usage a) Annual Aggregate Purchased (tons) b) Convert to cubic yards (a/1.6) c) Water Usage (40 gal./yd x b) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	87,370 54,606 2,184,240 6.70
Site Dust Suppression a) 3000 gallon water truck – 8 trips/day b) Operating Days: 250 c) Water Usage (3000 x 8 x 250) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	6,000,000 18.41 TOTAL: 189.09

YEAR	<u>2002</u>	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	352,192 405 870 74,100 64,467,000 197.87	
Ready Mix Plant Usage a) Annual Aggregate Purchased (tons) b) Convert to cubic yards (a/1.6) c) Water Usage (40 gal./yd x b) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	95,722 59,826 2,393,040 7.35	
Site Dust Suppression a) 3000 gallon water truck – 8 trips/day b) Operating Days: 250 c) Water Usage (3000 x 8 x 250) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	6,000,000 18.41	TOTAL: 223.63
YEAR	<u>2003</u>	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	449,527 405 1,110 74,100 82,251,000 252.46	

, , ,	
Ready Mix Plant Usage	
a) Annual Aggregate Purchased (tons)	140,310
b) Convert to cubic yards (a/1.6)	87,694
c) Water Usage (40 gal./yd x b)	3,507,760
d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	10.77
Site Dust Suppression	
a) 3000 gallon water truck – 8 trips/day	
b) Operating Days: 250	

c) Water Usage (3000 x 8 x 250)
d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)
6,000,000
18.41

.41 TOTAL: 281.64

YEAR	<u>2004</u>	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage		
a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons)	550,101	
b) Plant Production (tons/hour)	405	
c) Hours of Production (a/b)	1358	
d) Water Usage per hour (1235gpm x 60)	74,100	
e) Annual water used – gallons	100,627,800	
f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	308.86	
Ready Mix Plant Usage		
a) Annual Aggregate Purchased (tons)	194,874	
b) Convert to cubic yards (a/1.6)	121,796	
c) Water Usage (40 gal./yd x b)	4,871,840	
d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	14.95	
Site Dust Suppression		
a) 3000 gallon water truck – 8 trips/day		
b) Operating Days: 280		
c) Water Usage (3000 x 8 x 280)	6,720,000	
d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	20.63	TOTAL: 344.44

226.43 ACRE FEET PER YEAR

AVERAGE USAGE 2000 THROUGH 2004:

EXHIBIT "H"

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases Case No. BC 325 301, S-1500-CV-254-348 RIC 353 840 RIC 344 436 RIC 344 668 Antelope Valley Aggregate Site

Holliday Rock Co., Inc., successor in interest to Littlerock Aggregate Co., Inc. dba Antelope Valley Aggregate, Inc. provides the following information to substantiate its water rights' claims relating to Assessor's Parcel Numbers 3051-008-001, 3051-008-003, 3051-008-012 and 3051-008-013, in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

Holliday owns and operates an aggregate processing plant on the above-referenced parcels. The parcels are owned by lessor Littlerock Aggregate Co., Inc. dba Antelope Valley Aggregate, Inc. There is also a ready mixed concrete production plant on site. The process involved in the manufacture of aggregates and concrete require substantial amounts of water. Following is a description of the aggregate manufacturing process. Raw rock, sand and gravel are extracted from the site below grade and brought to the plant via conveyors. The aggregate processing plant uses water to wash the fines and deleterious materials out of the raw minerals to achieve cleanliness measures per the specifications for construction materials. The plant is subject to a number of governmental authorities and permits. The Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District requires the use of water for dust suppression both in the plant as well as in and around the site. Holliday owns two industrial wells on site and uses them in tandem to fill a fresh water pond capable of supplying all the water needs for its industrial processes. The fresh water pond has a pond pump which then conveys the water to the rock plant and to the ready mixed concrete plant (water storage tank).

The on-site ready mixed concrete plant uses the aggregate supplied by Holliday and mixes it with cement and water to produce concrete. Each yard of concrete produced requires at least 30 gallons. Each truck also has a 100 gallon water tank which is filled with each 10 yard load. Thus total water involved with each yard of concrete produced is 40 gallons.

Below, Holliday has itemized its annual water usage for the years 2011 and 2012, and for the years 2000 through 2004. The assumptions to the calculations were based on actual production numbers (tons of rock and sand produced and sold) for the aggregate plant and yards of concrete sold and shipped for the ready mix portion. Additionally, water used for dust suppression in and around the 200+ acre site has been shown separately. The rock plant water consumption is 1060 gallons per minute as determined by a hydraulic test performed on January 17, 2013 by Southern California Edison Company. The throughput productive capacity of the aggregate plant (tons per hour) for Water Years 2011 and 2012 is based on belt scale readings and production reports. The tons per hour rating for Water Years 2000 – 2004 are based on averages derived from belt scale readings from Water Years 2008 – 2010, as detailed below.

YEAR	2011	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	165,044 261 634 63,600 40,322,400 123.76	
Ready Mix Plant Usage a) Annual Aggregate Purchased (tons) b) Convert to cubic yards (a/1.6) c) Water Usage (40 gal./yd x b) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	42,685 26,678 1,067,120 3.28	
Site Dust Suppression a) 3000 gallon water truck – 8 trips/day b) Operating Days: 250 c) Water Usage (3000 x 8 x 250) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	6,000,000 18.42	TOTAL: 145.46
<u>YEAR</u>	2012	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	226,775 300 755 63,600 48,018,000 147.38	
Ready Mix Plant Usage a) Annual Aggregate Purchased (tons) b) Convert to cubic yards (a/1.6) c) Water Usage (40 gal./yd x b) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	800 500 20,000 0.06	
Site Dust Suppression a) 3000 gallon water truck – 8 trips/day b) Operating Days: 250 c) Water Usage (3000 x 8 x 250) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	6,000,000 18.42	TOTAL: 165,86

YEAR	2008*	
	,	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage	20.050	
a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons)	29,950	
b) Plant Production (tons/hour)	320 22.5	
c) Hours of Production (a/b)	93.5	
d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60)	63,600	
e) Annual water used – gallons	5,946,600	
f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	18.25	
<u>YEAR</u>	2009*	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage		
a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons)	318,017	
b) Plant Production (tons/hour)	292	
c) Hours of Production (a/b)	1,088	
d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60)	63,600	
e) Annual water used – gallons	69,196,800	
f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	212.39	
YEAR	2010*	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage		
a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons)	361,834	
b) Plant Production (tons/hour)	<i>303</i>	
c) Hours of Production (a/b)	1,196	
d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60)	63,600	
e) Annual water used – gallons	76,065,600	
f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	233.47	
wo that he may be at a	(, H)	

^{*}Establish Average Plant Production Number (tons/hour) 320 + 292 + 303 = 915/3 = 305 TPH

This $\underline{305}$ TPH Average will be used in the calculations for the Water Years 2000-2004 to determine the Plant's Hours of Production (given the annual Aggregate Production in tons). The hours of production will then be multiplied against the hourly water usage to determine total water used in the plant for that year.

<u>YEAR</u>	2000	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	505,017 305 1,656 63,600 105,321,600 323.2 7	
Ready Mix Plant Usage a) Annual Aggregate Purchased (tons) b) Convert to cubic yards (a/1.6) c) Water Usage (40 gal./yd x b) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	108,391 67,745 2,709,800 8.32	
Site Dust Suppression a) 3000 gallon water truck – 8 trips/day b) Operating Days: 250 c) Water Usage (3000 x 8 x 250) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	6,000,000 18.42	TOTAL: 350,01
YEAR	<u>2001</u>	
YEAR Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons f) Acre Feet (g/325,800)	2001 610,048 305 2,000 63,600 127,200,000 390.42	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons	610,048 305 2,000 63,600 127,200,000	

YEAR	<u>2002</u>	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons f) Acre Feet (g/325,800)	668,525 305 2,192 63,600 139,411,200 427.90	
Ready Mix Plant Usage a) Annual Aggregate Purchased (tons) b) Convert to cubic yards (a/1.6) c) Water Usage (40 gal./yd x b) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	104,313 65,196 2,607,840 8.00	
Site Dust Suppression a) 3000 gallon water truck – 8 trips/day b) Operating Days: 250 c) Water Usage (3000 x 8 x 250) d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	6,000,000 18.42	TOTAL: 454.32
YEAR	2003	
YEAR Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	2003 801,403 305 2628 63,600 167,140,800 513.02	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons) b) Plant Production (tons/hour) c) Hours of Production (a/b) d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60) e) Annual water used – gallons	801,403 305 2628 63,600 167,140,800	

^{**} It was necessary to run additional production days in order to achieve the listed annual aggregate production for 2003/2004

YEAR	2004	
Aggregate Plant Water Usage		
a) Annual Aggregate Production (tons)	757,202	
b) Plant Production (tons/hour)	305	
c) Hours of Production (a/b)	2,483	
d) Water Usage per hour (1060gpm x 60)	63,600	
e) Annual water used – gallons	157,918,800	
f) Acre Feet (e/325,800)	484.71	
Ready Mix Plant Usage		
a) Annual Aggregate Purchased (tons)	167,500	
b) Convert to cubic yards (a/1.6)	104,688	
c) Water Usage (40 gal./yd x b)	4,187,520	
d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	12.85	
Site Dust Suppression		
a) 3000 gallon water truck – 8 trips/day		
b) Operating Days: 280		
c) Water Usage (3000 x 8 x 280)	6,720,000	
d) Acre Feet (c/325,800)	20.63	TOTAL: 518,19

AVERAGE USAGE 2000 THROUGH 2004:

457.13 ACRE FEET PER YEAR

EXHIBIT "I"

EXHIBIT "J"

1 ||

EXHIBIT "K"

EXHIBIT "L"

Appendix D-3: Table 4 Applied Crop Water Duties and Irrigation Efficiency Values (DU = 80%) Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication

Gráp.	ET _o 1 (ln)	P _e ² (in)	ET _{AW}	DÚ ⁴ (%)	AW _e s (In)	AW _{er} ⁶ (in)	AW _{pr} 7 (ln)	AW† ⁸ (lp.)	(ft)	E _{itr} 8 (%)
Alfalfa	62,10	1,77	60,33	80	75.42	o	2,0	77,42	6.5	81
Carrots	27,47	0.00	27.47	80	34.33	6	6,5	46,83	3.9	85
Grain	22,94	1,42	21,52	80	26,90	.o	4.0	30,90	2.6	83
Melons/Squash	23.91	0.00	23,91	80	29.88	ō.	4,0	33.88	2,8	82
Onions	37.67	0:00	37.57	8.0	46.96	3.	4.0	53,96	4.5	83
Orchard (Deciduous)	47.38	0,00	47.38	80	59.22	Ö	0.0	59.22	4.9	80
Pasture .	66,19	1.77	64.42	80	80,53	Ó	o io	80.53	6.7	80
Polatoes	24.02	0.00	24.02	80	.30.03	:0	4.0	34.03	2.8	82
Silage	27,31	0.00	27.31	80	34.14	Ö	4.0	38.14	3.2	82
Sugar Beets	40.55	0.00	40,55	80	50,68	ø	4,0	54.68	4.6	81
Vineyard (Grapes)	35.33	0,00	35,33	80	44.16	ŗď	Ø <u>.</u> ′0	44,16	3.7	80

ET. = K. * ET., where ET. = average ET. for specified periods, based on data from Victorville CIMIS Station, 1994-2003); K. values from Univ. California Cooperative Extension

20

21

22

23

P_e = effective precipitation offsetting ET_e, up to 1/2 of the average precipitation, in Dec. - Feb., inclusive

ETAW = evapotranspiration of applied water = ET. - P.

DU = Inigation distribution uniformity

AW_c = applied water for crop requirement = ET_{AW} + DU

AW_{er} = applied water for erosion control AW_{pr} = applied water for field preparation and pre-infigation

 $AW_T = applied crop water duty.= <math>AW_0 + AW_{nr} + AW_0$; $E_{jrr} = overall irrigation efficiency for beneficial uses = <math>(ET_{AW} + AW_0) + AW_0$. AW_T

1 EXHIBIT "N"

EXHIBIT "O"

PROOF OF SERVICE (C.C.P. 1013A, 2015.5)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 10900 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 920, Los Angeles, California 90024.

On <u>January 31, 2013</u>, I served the foregoing document, described as:

DECLARATION OF PETER H. POUWELS IN LIEU OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY FOR PHASE 4 TRIAL

on the interested parties in this action in the following manner:

X BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE AS FOLLOWS by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara website in the action of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408, Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053.

Executed on January 31, 2013 at Los Angeles, California.

XX (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

James W. Lewis