1	[INSERT NAME OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY	7	
2	GOLDEN SANDS MOBILE HOME PARK		
3	DAVID REINHARD		
4		UN VIESO, CA. 92692	
5	(949)830-4576; (949) 305		
6	[Insert address, phone number, fax number, of	NET	
7	mail address]		
8		•	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES	
11			
12	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408	
13	Included Actions:	For filing purposes only: Santa Clara County Case No. 1-05-CV-049053	
14	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar	
15	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Los Angeles County Superior Court	Assigned to The Honorable Jack Rollian	
16	Case No. BC 325201	MODEL ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS	
17	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	ALL CROSS-COMI LAINTS	
18	Kern County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348		
19	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of		
20	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v.		
21	Palmdale Water Dist. Riverside County Superior Court		
22	Consolidated actions Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC		
23	344 668		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	·		

1	Third Affirmative Defense		
2	(Laches)		
3	4. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action		
4	contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of laches.		
5	Fourth Affirmative Defense		
6	(Estoppel)		
7	5. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action		
8	contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of estoppel.		
9	Fifth Affirmative Defense		
10	(Waiver)		
11	6. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action		
12	contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of waiver.		
13	Sixth Affirmative De <u>f</u> ense		
14	(Self-Help)		
15	7. Defendant and Cross-Defendant has, by virtue of the doctrine of self-help,		
16	preserved its paramount overlying right to extract groundwater by continuing, during all times		
17	relevant hereto, to extract groundwater and put it to reasonable and beneficial use on its property		
18	Seventh Affirmative Defense		
19	(California Constitution Article X, Section 2)		
20	8. Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant's methods of water use and storage are		
21	unreasonable and wasteful in the arid conditions of the Antelope Valley and thereby violate		
22	Article X, Section 2 of the California Constitution.		
23	Eighth Affirmative Defense		
24	(Additional Defenses)		
25	9. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint do not state their allegations with sufficient		
26	clarity to enable defendant and cross-defendant to determine what additional defenses may exist		
27	to Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant's causes of action. Defendant and Cross-defendant therefore		
28	reserve the right to assert all other defenses which may pertain to the Complaint and Cross-		
	Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408)		

1	Complaint.	
2	Ninth Affirmative Defense	
3	10. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are	
4	ultra vires and exceed the statutory authority by which each entity may acquire property as set	
5	forth in Water Code sections 22456, 31040 and 55370.	
6	Tenth Affirmative Defense	
7	11. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are	
8	barred by the provisions of Article 1 Section 19 of the California Constitution.	
9	Eleventh Affirmative Defense	
10	12. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are	
11	barred by the provisions of the 5 th Amendment to the United States Constitution as applied to the	
12	states under the 14 th Amendment of the United States Constitution.	
13	Twelfth Affirmative Defense	
14	13. Cross-Complainants' prescriptive claims are barred due to their failure to take	
15	affirmative steps that were reasonably calculated and intended to inform each overlying	
16	landowner of cross-complainants' adverse and hostile claim as required by the due process clause	
17	of the 5 th and 14 th Amendments of the United States Constitution.	
18	Thirteenth Affirmative Defense	
19	14. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are	
20	barred by the provisions of Article 1 Section 7 of the California Constitution.	
21	Fourteenth Affirmative Defense	
22	15. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are	
23	barred by the provisions of the 14 th Amendment to the United States Constitution.	
24	Fifteenth Affirmative Defense	
25	16. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants were permissively pumping at all	
26	times.	
27	Sixteenth Affirmative Defense	
28	17. The request for the court to use its injunctive powers to impose a physical solution 4	
	Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408)	

1	water right priorities and water usage within the Antelope Valley will be ultra vires as it will be		
2	subverting the pre-project legislative requirements and protections of California's Environmental		
3	Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 et seq.).		
4			
5	WHEREFORE, Defendant and Cross-defendant prays that judgment be entered as		
6	follows:		
7	1. That Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant take nothing by reason of its Complaint or		
8	Cross-Complaint;		
9	2. That the Complaint and Cross-Complaints be dismissed with prejudice;		
10	3. For Defendant and Cross-Defendant's costs incurred herein; and		
11	4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.		
12			
13	Dated: FEBRUARY 7, 2011 Signature Devil Coeu uf AVID J. (REINHARD)		
14	[Print name of party and/or attorney]		
15			
16			
17	[FILE IN LA SUPERIOR COURT AND POST ON COURT WEBSITE – FOR E-FILING		
18	INSTRUCTIONS, PLEASE GO TO <u>WWW.SCEFILING.ORG/FAQ</u> OR CONTACT GLOTRANS		
19	AT (510) 208-4775.]		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	\parallel		